UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,082	03/10/2004	Mireille Maubru	05725.1274-00	2687
22852 7590 01/08/2010 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			EXAMINER	
			VENKAT, JYOTHSNA A	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/796,082	MAUBRU ET AL.
Examiner initiated interview culminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT	1619
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>DEBORAH HERZFELD</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>2 December 2009</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate ☐ Applicate ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/JYOTHSNA A VENKAT / Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1619	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/796,082

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney Herzfeld informed the examiner that pursuant to interview conducted on 11/24/09 applicants are willing to amend the claims except for cationic polymer weight percent since example 2 teaches less than 0.1 % and applicants are willing to limit the weight percent to 0.01 to 10 %. Examiner agreed. Examiner also informed the attorney that application is in condition for allowance subjecting to deleting "s" from polyethyleneimines in claim 1 and claim 36 and amending claim 36 by amending "chosen from" to " is" and amending claims 2, 4-5, 7-9, 36,57,60-62, 64, 66 and 73 by reciting " the cosmetic composition" instead of " the composition". Attorney agreed and authorized the examiner to amend the claims by examiners amendment .